24994

435.36 06/30/2005 Rev. 06

FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (FFA/CO) NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI) RECEIVED

MAY 1 0 2006

Part A – NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (To be completed by the Task Lead for New Site) 1. Site Title: Site Code: Site Code:				
Site Title: Shallow Injection Well 13-TRA IDWR#21 TRA FD13 Northeast Corner TRA-614	Site Code: TRA-67			
(Use known common names, location descriptors and or processes near or associated with the suspected inactive waste site.)	NSI Evaluation Initiation Date: July 18, 2003			
Task Lead For New Site: Wendell Jolley	Phone: 526-5990			
NSI Coordinator: Nielsen Burch	Phone: 526-5676			
Initiator or Initial Observer: Paul V. Hehn	Phone: 526-8886			
	Site Title: Shallow Injection Well 13-TRA IDWR#21 TRA FD13 Northeast Corner TRA-614 (Use known common names, location descriptors and or processes near or associated with the suspected inactive waste site.) Task Lead For New Site: Wendell Jolley NSI Coordinator: Nielsen Burch			

Description of Suspected New Site and Location: (A location map and/or diagram identifying the site against controlled survey
points or global positioning system descriptors may be included. Document all existing information including historical, process,
screening data, analytical data, radiological surveys etc. Attach supporting documentation)

This new site identification (NSI) form is for a shallow injection well at the Test Reactor Area (TRA). This well was identified in a correspondence dated 1/3/03 to Mike Piechowski at the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) from Ron Guymon, Director, BBWI Environmental Affairs. This correspondence also indicates that new site identification forms will be submitted, and provides information concerning the function/description of the wells. For the purposes of this NSI form, the shallow injection well is identified at the top by the Site Title which includes: shallow injection well, the record number and facility identifier, the IDWR Record Number, the well name, and location.

This well, located at the northeast corner of building TRA-614, is a buried 55 gallon drum with a steel grate cover, and received steam condensate from the TRA/MTR steam generation system. The steam condensate line has not been removed. This shallow injection well is considered inactive.

The TRA/MTR steam generation system provided high-pressure steam to various TRA facilities. The Steam Plant, located in TRA-609, was originally commissioned as part of the MTR support facilities in 1950. The TRA/MTR Steam Generation System has been removed from service and is no longer in use (EDF-1983 Voluntary Consent Order Tank System TRA-017 — TRA/MTR Steam Generation System Characterization). Tanks and some piping within this system were assessed under the Voluntary Consent Order (VCO) in 2002. The TRA/MTR steam generation system components evaluated under the VCO program includes #2 boiler (98TRA00421), #1 east boiler (98TRA00422), #3 west boiler (98TRA00423), deaerator tank (98TRA00424), phosphate tank (98TRA00425), sulfite tank (98TRA00428), and two fuel oil tanks (98TRA00462 and 98TRA00463). The results of this assessment determined that boilers #1, #2, and #3, deaerator tank, phosphate tank, and the sulfite tank are empty, and the associated piping and ancillary equipment within the system are empty. The two fuel oil tanks, and associated piping were determined to be nonhazardous and have a low potential for release. All these tanks and piping were determined to be nonhazardous and were moved to Appendix C of the VCO Action Plan — Covered Matters that are Closed (Letter and Appendix C of VCO).

The steam, condensate piping, and associated injection wells were not included in the VCO assessment (INEEL 2001 Voluntary Consent Order SITE-TANK-005 System Identification, TRA/MTR Steam Generation System (TRA-017), INEEL/EXT-2000-00037, Book 1-TRA, Volume I, Revision 1, September 2001). It was assumed that this part of the system was nonhazardous as well, and the condensate system was not evaluated further. Therefore all the shallow injection wells associated with this system would meet the Class V definition (EPA Proposes to Continue with its Existing Approach for Managing Class V Injection Wells, EPA 816-F-01-009, April 2001).


Chemicals that could potentially be present in the condensate system and the wells include sodium bisulfite, and disodium and trisodium phosphate. At the time the system was constructed, no chemical conditioning agents were used. Beginning in 1986, sulfite and phosphate chemical addition tanks were used to provide chemical conditioning for the boiler feedwater. From the sulfite tank, sulfite salts, primarily sodium bisulfite, were added in batches and diluted with demineralized water. The sulfite solution was pumped to the deaerator. Sulfite was used as a boiler feedwater conditioner because of its ability to scavenge oxygen. (INEEL 2001). The phosphate tank was used to add phosphate to the boiler steam drums to act as a corrosion inhibitor. The phosphate salts, primarily disodium and trisodium

. 435.36 06/30/2005 Rev. 06

FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (FFA/CO) NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

	dire	sphate, were added in batches and mixed with demineralized water. The chemical solution was then transferred ectly to the boiler steam drums.		
	the saits Phofor t	ese three chemicals are not included as hazardous constituents in 40 CFR Part 261 Appendix VIII and therefore are sidered nonhazardous. All three when in water will disassociate into common ions. Therefore these components of TRA/MTR steam generation system have only contained demineralized water, steam, and sulfite and phosphate is (INEEL 2001). If sulfite is discharged in effluents or from domestic wastewaters, it readily oxidizes to form sulfate, is sphate and sulfate salts are both nonhazardous and nontoxic. Both phosphorous and sulfur are essential nutrients the support of life (NAS 1980). Therefore it is unlikely that this shallow injection well associated with the TRA/MTR arm generation system poses an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.		
6.	6. Is the site a Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) as defined in OSWER Directive 9502.00-6? 🗵 Yes 🗌 No			
7.	Recommendation			
/.	Nec	commendation		
/.		commendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.		
	_	Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the		
		Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further		
		Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.		
		Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended Waste Area Group (WAG) and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned:		
		Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended Waste Area Group (WAG) and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned: WAG: 10 Operable Unit: 10-08		
8.		Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended Waste Area Group (WAG) and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned: WAG: 10 Operable Unit: 10-08 Recommended action for this site:		

FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (FFA/CO) NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)



435.36 06/30/2005 Rev. 06

FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (FFA/CO) NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

PART B - FFA/CO RESPONSIBLE PR	OGRAM MANAGERS (RPM'S) COM	CURRENCE
ite Title: hallow Injection Well 13-TRA IDWR#21 TR		Site Code: TRA-67
DOE-ID FFA/CO RPM Concurrence:	Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.
Signature:	van	Date: <u>April 11, 2006</u>
It is very unlikely:	that any hazardous const,	tuents that would result
is an unacceptable vist	to human health on the enc	promient were released to
this shallow dry well	ura the steam generation	system. Therefore I
agree the site should	d be added to ou 10-08 as a	"No Action" site
EPA FFA/CO RPM Concurrence:	Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.
Signature: A Metth U	fellows	-/1/2
Explanation:		Date: <u>5/4/06</u>
ion brees and bocations, I	t via the steam generation	ed, Description of Suspected ardous constituents were system.
State of Idaho FFA/CO RPM Concurrence:	Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.
Signature:	2 Dach	7/a/a/
Explanation: All constituents	and parts of the system were	Date: // Considered nonhazardous. The
include sodium bisulfate and phosphate chemical addition source for sulfite salts, pro-	d disodium and trisodium pho tanks were used in the syst	in the condensate system or well osphate. In 1986, sulfite and tem. The sulfite tanks were a
•	ardous constituents in 40 CF	FR Part 261 Appendix VIIIand
The DEQ agrees that it is ur generation system, poses an	nlikely that the shallow inj unacceptable risk to human	ection well, and associated stear health and the environment.